

Results of TWGFEX Scene Survey - 02/12/2000

| Responses to Question 1 - Age: | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Response (yrs) | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Age | 419 | 24 | 75 | 44.2578 | 8.9857 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 419 | | | | |

| Responses to Question 2 - Gender: | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--|
| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent | |
| MALE | 405 | 96.0 | 96.7 | 96.7 | |
| FEMALE | 14 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 | |
| Total | 419 | 99.3 | 100.0 | | |
| Missing | 3 | .7 | | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | | |

| Responses to Question 3 - State in which you work: | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--|
| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent | |
| | 3 | .7 | .7 | .7 | |
| ALASKA | 4 | .9 | .9 | 1.7 | |
| ALABAMA | 6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 3.1 | |
| ALBERTA | 1 | .2 | .2 | 3.3 | |
| ARKANSAS | 3 | .7 | .7 | 4.0 | |
| ARIZONA | 6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 5.5 | |
| CALIFORNIA | 28 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 12.1 | |
| COLORADO | 7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 13.7 | |
| CONNECTICUT | 3 | .7 | .7 | 14.5 | |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 16.4 | |
| DELAWARE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 16.6 | |
| FLORIDA | 23 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 22.0 | |
| GEORGIA | 7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 23.7 | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|-----|-----|------|
| HAWAII | 3 | .7 | .7 | 24.4 |
| IOWA | 1 | .2 | .2 | 24.6 |
| IDAHO | 3 | .7 | .7 | 25.4 |
| ILLINOIS | 16 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 29.1 |
| INDIANA | 12 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 32.0 |
| KANSAS | 2 | .5 | .5 | 32.5 |
| KENTUCKY | 8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 34.4 |
| LOUISIANA | 6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 35.8 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 37.7 |
| MARYLAND | 15 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 41.2 |
| MAINE | 4 | .9 | .9 | 42.2 |
| MICHIGAN | 14 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 45.5 |
| MINNESOTA | 7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 47.2 |
| MISSOURI | 11 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 49.8 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 51.2 |
| MONTANA | 2 | .5 | .5 | 51.7 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 18 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 55.9 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 3 | .7 | .7 | 56.6 |
| NEBRASKA | 2 | .5 | .5 | 57.1 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 4 | .9 | .9 | 58.1 |
| NEW JERSEY | 13 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 61.1 |
| NEW MEXICO | 3 | .7 | .7 | 61.8 |
| NEVADA | 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 63.0 |
| NEW YORK | 16 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 66.8 |
| OHIO | 20 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 71.6 |
| OKLAHOMA | 3 | .7 | .7 | 72.3 |
| OREGON | 10 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 74.6 |
| PENNSLYVANIA | 19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 79.1 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1 | .2 | .2 | 79.4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 4 | .9 | .9 | 80.3 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 1 | .2 | .2 | 80.6 |

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| TENNESSEE | 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 81.8 |
| TEXAS | 19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 86.3 |
| UTAH | 3 | .7 | .7 | 87.0 |
| VIRGINIA | 14 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 90.3 |
| VERMONT | 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 91.5 |
| WASHINGTON | 13 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 94.5 |
| WISCONSIN | 11 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 97.2 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 99.3 |
| WYOMING | 3 | .7 | .7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

| Responses to Question 4 - Are you a supervisor? | | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent | |
| YES | 187 | 44.3 | 44.5 | 44.5 | |
| NO | 233 | 55.2 | 55.5 | 100.0 | |
| Total | 420 | 99.5 | 100.0 | | |
| Missing | 2 | .5 | | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | | |

| Responses to Question 5 - Do you have arrest powers? | | | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent | |
| YES | 257 | 60.9 | 61.5 | 61.5 | |
| NO | 161 | 38.2 | 38.5 | 100.0 | |
| Total | 418 | 99.1 | 100.0 | | |
| Missing | 4 | .9 | | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | | |

| Responses to Question 6 - Current Position: | |
|--|----------|
| Response | N |
| FIRE INVESTIGATOR LAW ENFORCEMENT | 156 |
| FIRE INVESTIGATOR FIRE DEPARTMENT | 132 |
| FIRE PREVENTION | 78 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| EXPLOSION INVESTIGATOR | 165 |
| BOMB TECHNICIAN | 86 |
| K9 ACCELERANT DETECTION | 14 |
| K9 EXPLOSION DETECTION | 10 |
| FORENSIC LABORATORY | 14 |
| FIRE MARSHAL | 72 |
| MILITARY | 11 |
| ENGINEER | 12 |
| INSURANCE INVESTIGATOR/ADJUSTER | 39 |
| PROSECUTOR'S INVESTIGATOR | 6 |
| PRIVATE SECTOR | 43 |
| OTHER(a) | 74 |
| a Other Positions Listed (N) FIRE INVESTIGATOR (4) TRAINER/EDUCATOR (4) CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS (4) FIRE CHIEF (4) FEDERAL (3) DETECTIVE (3) ATF (3) POLICE DETECTIVE (3) FIREFIGHTER (2) CFI (2) RETIRED (2) SUPPRESSION (2) NIOSH-FIRE FIGHTER FATALITY INVEST/PREV. TEAM (1) D/SG LATENT PRINT UNIT (1) COMMANDER-TAC OPS (1) DEPUTY SHERIFF (1) EMERGENCY PREP COORD (1) RETIRED FMARSHAL, NOW PI FIRM (1) FIRE-MEDIC (1) SHERIFFS OFFICE (1) FIRE OFFICER (1) PIO (1) US MARSHAL ASGND TO ATF NAT RESP TM (1) WEAPONS, SECURITY PENETRATIONS (1) FIRE PROTECTION ENGINEER (1) FIREFIGHTER/PARAMEDIC (1) CODES, PLANS EXAMINER (1) ARSON INVESTIGATOR (1) SPECIAL AGENT (1) ATF FORENSIC ACCOUNTANT (1) DEPUTY DIRECTOR-WV DIV. OF PROTECT. (1) CAUSE & ORIGIN (1) FIRE CAPTAIN (1) | |

CONSULTANT ON FIRES/EXPL (1)
 SENIOR FORENSIC AUDITOR (1)
 ON CALL PD FIRE FIGHTER (1)
 INSPECTOR (1)
 PATROL OFFICER (1)
 LOCALLENFORC/BOMBSQUAD(NON-TECH) (1)
 POLICE OFFICER (1)
 SHIFT CAPTAIN (1)
 INTELLIGENCE RESEARCH SPECIALIST (1)
 RETIREDATF/FIRE INVESTIGATOR (1)
 ADMIN, ASST CHIEF2 (1)
 INSTRUCTOR CG MARINE INSPECT/INVEST (1)
 EXPLOSIVES CONSULTANT/TRAINING (1)
 EMERGENCY PLANNER (1)
 WATCH COMMANDER (1)
 FIRE PHOTOGRAPHER (1)
 LAW ENFORCEMENT (1)

Responses to Question 7 - Describe your position (Volunteer or Paid):

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| VOLUNTEER | 2 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| PAID | 415 | 98.3 | 99.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 417 | 98.8 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 5 | 1.2 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 8 - Do you work as a fire/explosion investigator full time or part time?

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| FULL TIME | 268 | 63.5 | 66.0 | 66.0 |
| PART TIME | 138 | 32.7 | 34.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 406 | 96.2 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 16 | 3.8 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 9 - Agency type that you currently work for:

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| PRIVATE | 72 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 17.1 |
| LOCAL | 166 | 39.3 | 39.5 | 56.7 |
| COUNTY | 70 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 73.3 |

| | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | STATE | 64 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 88.6 |
| | FEDERAL | 48 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 420 | 99.5 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 2 | .5 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 10 - Years of experience in your field: | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| Response (years) | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation | |
| EXPERIENCE IN FIRE | 353 | .40 | 45.00 | 14.0224 | 9.6342 | |
| EXPERIENCE IN EXPLOSION | 211 | .00 | 40.00 | 11.6351 | 9.0569 | |
| EXPERIENCE AS BOMB TECHNICIAN | 101 | .00 | 40.00 | 10.3589 | 8.4856 | |

| Responses to Question 11 - How many fire/explosion investigators are in your department? | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | 0 | 2 | .5 | .5 | .5 |
| | 1 | 65 | 15.4 | 16.9 | 17.4 |
| | 2 | 41 | 9.7 | 10.7 | 28.1 |
| | 3 | 43 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 39.3 |
| | 4 | 38 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 49.2 |
| | 5 | 32 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 57.6 |
| | 6 | 20 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 62.8 |
| | 7 | 14 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 66.4 |
| | 8 | 19 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 71.4 |
| | 9 | 10 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 74.0 |
| | 10 | 18 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 78.6 |
| | 11 | 6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 80.2 |
| | 12 | 7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 82.0 |
| | 13 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 82.3 |
| | 14 | 2 | .5 | .5 | 82.8 |
| | 15 | 5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 84.1 |
| | 16 | 2 | .5 | .5 | 84.6 |
| | 17 | 4 | .9 | 1.0 | 85.7 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|-----|-----|------|
| | 18 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 85.9 |
| | 20 | 4 | .9 | 1.0 | 87.0 |
| | 21 | 3 | .7 | .8 | 87.8 |
| | 23 | 3 | .7 | .8 | 88.5 |
| | 24 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 88.8 |
| | 25 | 2 | .5 | .5 | 89.3 |
| | 27 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 89.6 |
| | 30 | 4 | .9 | 1.0 | 90.6 |
| | 31 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 90.9 |
| | 32 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 91.1 |
| | 36 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 91.4 |
| | 40 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 91.7 |
| | 46 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 91.9 |
| | 50 | 5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 93.2 |
| | 54 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 93.5 |
| | 60 | 2 | .5 | .5 | 94.0 |
| | 70 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 94.3 |
| | 75 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 94.5 |
| | 80 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 94.8 |
| | 88 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 95.1 |
| | 90 | 3 | .7 | .8 | 95.8 |
| | 94 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 96.1 |
| | 100 | 5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 97.4 |
| | 103 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 97.7 |
| | 108 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 97.9 |
| | 130 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 98.2 |
| | 150 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 98.4 |
| | 200 | 2 | .5 | .5 | 99.0 |
| | 250 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 99.2 |
| | 400 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 99.5 |
| | 1200 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 99.7 |

| | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | 1800 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 384 | 91.0 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | Missing | 38 | 9.0 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 11a - How many full-time fire/explosion investigators are in your department?

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 0 | 38 | 9.0 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
| 1 | 55 | 13.0 | 16.4 | 27.7 |
| 2 | 27 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 35.7 |
| 3 | 44 | 10.4 | 13.1 | 48.8 |
| 4 | 28 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 57.1 |
| 5 | 23 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 64.0 |
| 6 | 13 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 67.9 |
| 7 | 13 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 71.7 |
| 8 | 16 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 76.5 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 78.0 |
| 10 | 9 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 80.7 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 82.4 |
| 12 | 5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 83.9 |
| 13 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 84.2 |
| 14 | 2 | .5 | .6 | 84.8 |
| 15 | 3 | .7 | .9 | 85.7 |
| 16 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 86.0 |
| 17 | 3 | .7 | .9 | 86.9 |
| 18 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 87.2 |
| 20 | 3 | .7 | .9 | 88.1 |
| 21 | 3 | .7 | .9 | 89.0 |
| 23 | 2 | .5 | .6 | 89.6 |
| 25 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 89.9 |
| 27 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 90.2 |

| | | | | |
|----------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| 30 | 5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 91.7 |
| 40 | 2 | .5 | .6 | 92.3 |
| 45 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 92.6 |
| 46 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 92.9 |
| 50 | 4 | .9 | 1.2 | 94.0 |
| 60 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 94.3 |
| 70 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 94.6 |
| 73 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 94.9 |
| 75 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 95.2 |
| 80 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 95.5 |
| 90 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 95.8 |
| 94 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 96.1 |
| 100 | 4 | .9 | 1.2 | 97.3 |
| 103 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 97.6 |
| 108 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 97.9 |
| 150 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 98.2 |
| 200 | 2 | .5 | .6 | 98.8 |
| 250 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 99.1 |
| 400 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 99.4 |
| 500 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 99.7 |
| 1800 | 1 | .2 | .3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 336 | 79.6 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 86 | 20.4 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 11b - How many part-time fire/explosion investigators are in your department? | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| 0 | | 84 | 19.9 | 36.1 | 36.1 |
| 1 | | 40 | 9.5 | 17.2 | 53.2 |
| 2 | | 26 | 6.2 | 11.2 | 64.4 |
| 3 | | 17 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 71.7 |

| | | | | |
|---------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| 4 | 16 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 78.5 |
| 5 | 10 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 82.8 |
| 6 | 10 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 87.1 |
| 7 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 87.6 |
| 8 | 3 | .7 | 1.3 | 88.8 |
| 9 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 89.3 |
| 10 | 5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 91.4 |
| 12 | 2 | .5 | .9 | 92.3 |
| 13 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 92.7 |
| 17 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 93.1 |
| 20 | 4 | .9 | 1.7 | 94.8 |
| 30 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 95.3 |
| 32 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 95.7 |
| 33 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 96.1 |
| 35 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 96.6 |
| 48 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 97.0 |
| 50 | 4 | .9 | 1.7 | 98.7 |
| 54 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 99.1 |
| 100 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 99.6 |
| 126 | 1 | .2 | .4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 233 | 55.2 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 189 | 44.8 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 12 - What is the average annual percentage of your workload that is devoted to each of the following (to total 100%)?

| Responses (%) | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|-----------------------------|-----|---------|---------|-------|----------------|
| OTHER THINGS(a) | 162 | 0 | 100 | 54.16 | 33.47 |
| FIRE SCENE INVESTIGATION | 330 | 0 | 100 | 44.36 | 32.22 |
| POST INCIDENT INVESTIGATION | 260 | 0 | 100 | 28.15 | 24.29 |
| FORENSIC ANALYSIS | 63 | 0 | 100 | 26.14 | 34.22 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|---|-----|-------|-------|
| EXPLOSIVES (RENDER SAFE, BOMB TECH.) | 99 | 0 | 100 | 22.52 | 23.86 |
| EXPLOSIVES (HANDLE, TRANSPORT, DESTROY KNOWN OR SUSPECT EXPLOSIVES) | 111 | 0 | 100 | 15.94 | 19.44 |
| POST BLAST INVESTIGATION | 178 | 0 | 100 | 13.39 | 18.78 |
| a Footnote: See list of other types of work below. | | | | | |

| Responses to Question 12a - Describe other types of work: | |
|--|------------------|
| Responses | Frequency |
| INSPECTIONS | 5 |
| TRAINING | 5 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE | 4 |
| INSPECTION | 3 |
| FIRE PREVENTION | 3 |
| CODE ENFORCEMENT | 3 |
| SUPERVISION | 3 |
| SUPPRESSION | 2 |
| PREVENTION | 2 |
| TRAINING/POST BLAST INVES | 1 |
| COURT TESTIMON | 1 |
| INV COMMERCIAL CRIMES | 1 |
| FIREFIGHTER/PUBLIC ED/EMR | 1 |
| PREVENTION/SUPPRESSION/EMS | 1 |
| SUPERVISOR OF INV UNIT | 1 |
| PAPER WORK | 1 |
| POLICE OFFICER - PATROL | 1 |
| PLANS REVIEW & INSPECTION | 1 |
| COMPLET FIRE INVESTIG | 1 |
| PUBLIC FIRE ED | 1 |
| GENERAL ASSIGNMENT INVEST | 1 |
| FIRE INPECTIONS | 1 |
| MANAGEMENT | 1 |

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| ADMIN, TRAINING, INSTRUCT | 1 |
| OTHER DETECTIVE WORK | 1 |
| ENGINEER CONSULTING | 1 |
| CODE ENFORCEMENT DUTIES | 1 |
| CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| FIELD OPERATIONS | 1 |
| FIREPREVENTION,DIST.ADMIN | 1 |
| K-9 | 1 |
| NON-FIRE INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| FIREFIGHTER | 1 |
| CRIMINAL INV | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVE TRAINING/TEACH | 1 |
| PREPARING REPORTS | 1 |
| INJURY | 1 |
| FIRE INSPECTOR | 1 |
| FIRE PREVENTION ACTIVITY | 1 |
| OTHER FORENSIC ANALYSIS | 1 |
| REPORTS/RESEARCH/INTERVIEWS | 1 |
| SUSPICIOUS ITEMS | 1 |
| ACCIDENT ASSMNT FOR PD | 1 |
| OTHER DETECTIVE DUTIES | 1 |
| INSTRUCTOR | 1 |
| PRESENTATIONS REVENUEEXAM | 1 |
| FILE REVIEW | 1 |
| CASE INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| OTHER CRIMINAL | 1 |
| BOMB THREAT INV | 1 |
| SUPERVISORY | 1 |
| GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| CRIMINAL CASES/MANAGEMENT | 1 |
| EMERGENCY PLANNING | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| K-9 DUTIES | 1 |
| FIREARMS VIOLATIONS | 1 |
| INSURANCE CLAIMS | 1 |
| COURT TESTIMONY | 1 |
| SECURITY | 1 |
| CODE ENFORCEMENT/ PUBLIC EDUCATION | 1 |
| ROUTINE PATROL | 1 |
| WEAPONS TRNG / SWAT TEAM | 1 |
| GENERAL NON FIRE RELATED | 1 |
| LIASON | 1 |
| MAJOR CRIME SCENES | 1 |
| FIRE SUPP/EMS SERVICES | 1 |
| CODE ENFORCEMENT/FIRE PREVENTION | 1 |
| INSPECTION/PREVENTION/EDUCATION | 1 |
| FIREFIGHTER, EDUCATION | 1 |
| OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT | 1 |
| ANALYSIS/TRENDS & PATTERN | 1 |
| LAW ENFORCEMENT PATROL | 1 |
| CRIME INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| FIRE-MEDIC | 1 |
| OTHER CRIMINAL INCIDENTS | 1 |
| PREVENTION, EDUCATION & MED SERVICES | 1 |
| ALL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| LIFE SAFTY CODE ENFORCEM | 1 |
| CODE ENFORCEME | 1 |
| FIELD INSPECTIONS | 1 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE/INSTRUCTOR | 1 |
| CONSULTING | 1 |
| ADMIN/INSTRUCTIVE | 1 |
| OTHER POLICE DUTIES | 1 |
| FIRE CAPTAIN | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| REPORT WRITING | 1 |
| CODE ENFORCEMENT/EDUCATIO | 1 |
| PATROL/P.R. | 1 |
| CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE | 1 |
| FIRE PREV. PUB. ED.; PIO | 1 |
| FOLLOW UPS | 1 |
| AUTO/HOMEOWNERS | 1 |
| FIRE INSPECTION | 1 |
| POLICE OFFICER | 1 |
| FIRE REPORTS/PAPERWORK/DOCUMENTATION | 1 |
| CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS | 1 |
| ADMINISTRATION | 1 |
| FIREARMS TRAFFICKING VIOLENT OFFENDERS | 1 |
| COORDINATE UNIT TRAINING | 1 |
| NON-FIRE FORENSIC CASES | 1 |
| ESTIMATING | 1 |
| P.I.O./PREVENTION | 1 |
| JUVENILE | 1 |
| PROPERTY/VIOLENT CRIMES | 1 |
| FIREARMS INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| PREPLANNING | 1 |
| DOCUMENTATION | 1 |
| OTHER INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| SERGEANT IN CRIMINAL INV | 1 |
| PROGRAMMANAGEM | 1 |
| CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| TECH SERVICES | 1 |
| BURGLARY INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| VICE/NARCOTICS | 1 |
| PATROL/SWAT | 1 |
| FIRE FIGHTING DUTIES | 1 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| MGMT OF FIRE & EXPL. PROG | 1 |
| HOMICIDE DET./ARSON INV. | 1 |
| INSPECTION PLAN REVIEW | 1 |
| BLDG. INSPECTIONS, PUB. EDUCATION | 1 |
| PLAN REVIEW & INSPECTIONS | 1 |
| CRIMINAL/CIVIL INVESTIGAT | 1 |
| POLICE DETECTIV | 1 |
| DRUG INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| REPORTS/RECORDS/DATA | 1 |
| TRYING TO SET UP ARSON INVEST UNIT NOW | 1 |
| OTHER DUTIES | 1 |
| SUPERVISOR | 1 |
| OTHER CRIMES/ADMINISTRATION | 1 |
| SUPPRESSION/PREVENTION | 1 |
| INSPECTION/SUPPRESSION | 1 |
| HOMICIDE-DEATH INVESTIGAT | 1 |
| FIRE SUPPRESSION | 1 |
| TECHNICAL RESEARCH | 1 |
| PRIMARY VIOLENT CRIME DET | 1 |
| PUBLIC EDUCATION | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVES TRAINING | 1 |
| CODES, PLANS EXAMINER | 1 |
| INSURANCE INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| PLATOON CAPTAIN/WATCH COM | 1 |
| EVIDENCE COLLECTION | 1 |
| Total | 422 |

Responses to Question 12b - Additional types of other work:

| Responses | | Frequency |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | FIRE PREVENTION | 2 |
| | DEPT INVESTIGAT | 1 |

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| PREVENTION | 1 |
| ADMINISTRATION | 1 |
| BOMB THREATS | 1 |
| FIRE DEPT TRAIN | 1 |
| TEACHING | 1 |
| LITIGATION | 1 |
| GIVING TALKS | 1 |
| REPORTS | 1 |
| OTHER INVEST | 1 |
| THEFT | 1 |
| EMS | 1 |
| PUBLIC ED | 1 |
| TRAINING | 1 |
| INSPECTI | 1 |
| PLANS REVIEW | 1 |
| INTERDICTION | 1 |

Responses to Question 13 - How many investigations do you perform, on average, each year?

| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| FIRE INVESTIGATIONS | 345 | 0 | 700 | 56.40 | 77.09 |
| EXPLOSION INVESTIGATIONS | 239 | 0 | 125 | 9.32 | 16.30 |

Responses to Question 14 - How many hours per year do you devote to training?

| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|--|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| FIRE TRAINING AS A STUDENT | 330 | 0 | 400 | 66.75 | 54.08 |
| EXPLOSION TRAINING AS A STUDENT | 237 | 0 | 500 | 60.30 | 78.24 |
| FIRE TRAINING AS AN INSTRUCTOR | 207 | 0 | 1000 | 59.48 | 100.14 |
| EXPLOSION AS AN INSTRUCTOR | 158 | 0 | 1000 | 56.82 | 119.36 |

Responses to Question 15 - Highest education level completed:

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 2 YR DEGREE | 112 | 26.5 | 26.8 | 26.8 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| HIGH SCHOOL | 110 | 26.1 | 26.3 | 53.1 |
| BACHELOR OF SCIENCE 4YRS | 100 | 23.7 | 23.9 | 77.0 |
| BACHELOR OF ARTS 4YR | 52 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 89.5 |
| MASTERS OF SCIENCE | 17 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 93.5 |
| MASTERS OF ARTS | 11 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 96.2 |
| GED | 8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 98.1 |
| OTHER | 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 99.3 |
| Ph.D. | 3 | .7 | .7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 418 | 99.1 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 4 | .9 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 15a - Field of study for Bachelor and/or Masters degrees. | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Valid Percent |
| | CRJ | 32 | 7.6 |
| | FIRE SCIENCE | 9 | 2.1 |
| | MANAGEMENT | 6 | 1.4 |
| | BUSINESS | 5 | 1.2 |
| | EDUCATION | 5 | 1.2 |
| | PUBLIC ADMIN. | 4 | .9 |
| | ACCOUNTING | 4 | .9 |
| | MECHANICAL ENG. | 3 | .7 |
| | LAW ENFORCEMENT | 3 | .7 |
| | POL SCI | 3 | .7 |
| | POLICE ADMIN. | 3 | .7 |
| | HISTORY | 3 | .7 |
| | CRIMINAL JUSTICE | 3 | .7 |
| | MBA | 2 | .5 |
| | JD (LAW) | 2 | .5 |
| | ELECTRICAL ENG. | 2 | .5 |
| | PUBLIC SAFETY | 2 | .5 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----|
| SOCIOLOGY | 2 | .5 |
| ENGLISH | 2 | .5 |
| BIOLOGY | 2 | .5 |
| CHEM | 2 | .5 |
| FORENSICSCIENCE | 2 | .5 |
| EMERGENCY | 1 | .2 |
| CJ/BIO/CHEM | 1 | .2 |
| MILITARY & GOVT | 1 | .2 |
| CRJ/FIRE PROTEC | 1 | .2 |
| HIST/EDUCATION | 1 | .2 |
| PSYCH/EDUCATION | 1 | .2 |
| NSG | 1 | .2 |
| FIRE & ARSON IN | 1 | .2 |
| ENGINEERING | 1 | .2 |
| BIOLOGY/CHEM. | 1 | .2 |
| ORGANIZ MANGMT | 1 | .2 |
| LLD-LAW | 1 | .2 |
| SAFETY ENVIRONMENTAL | 1 | .2 |
| MPA | 1 | .2 |
| BFA SPEECH COMM | 1 | .2 |
| EET | 1 | .2 |
| LA | 1 | .2 |
| JOURNALISM | 1 | .2 |
| LIFE/PSYCHOLOGY | 1 | .2 |
| HUMAN RESOURCE | 1 | .2 |
| CRJ/CHEM | 1 | .2 |
| PSYCHOLOGY/SOC. | 1 | .2 |
| CJ; ACCOUNTING | 1 | .2 |
| ENGINEER CIVIL | 1 | .2 |
| IE, FSM, CS | 1 | .2 |
| CRJ/FS | 1 | .2 |

| | | |
|----------------------------|---|----|
| CRJ/ART SCIENCE | 1 | .2 |
| BSME | 1 | .2 |
| PHYSICAL SCIENC | 1 | .2 |
| FIRE TECHNICIAN | 1 | .2 |
| FIRE & SAFETY T | 1 | .2 |
| F & S ENGINEER | 1 | .2 |
| CRIMINOLOGY | 1 | .2 |
| ANTHROPOLOGY | 1 | .2 |
| COMPUTER MGMT & | 1 | .2 |
| POLITICAL SCIENCE | 1 | .2 |
| SOCIAL SCIENCE | 1 | .2 |
| ED/HUMAN ORGSCI | 1 | .2 |
| BIO/CHEM | 1 | .2 |

Responses to Question 16 - Should your immediate supervisor have experience in the areas of fire or explosion investigation to manage cases in these fields?

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| YES | 354 | 83.9 | 85.1 | 85.1 |
| NO | 62 | 14.7 | 14.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 416 | 98.6 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 6 | 1.4 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 17 - What are the minimum number of years of experience in fire or explosion investigation needed to hold a supervisory position?

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 2-5 YEARS | 189 | 44.8 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| 6-10 YEARS | 171 | 40.5 | 40.7 | 85.7 |
| MORE THAN 10 YEARS | 32 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 93.3 |
| LESS THAN 2 YEARS | 15 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 96.9 |
| NONE | 13 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 420 | 99.5 | 100.0 | |

| | | | | |
|----------------|-----|-------|--|--|
| Missing | 2 | .5 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 18 - Does your immediate supervisor have experience in fire or explosion investigations? | | | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent | |
| YES | 234 | 55.5 | 58.9 | 58.9 | |
| NO | 163 | 38.6 | 41.1 | 100.0 | |
| Total | 397 | 94.1 | 100.0 | | |
| Missing | 25 | 5.9 | | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | | |

| Responses to Question 19 - Which professional organizations are you a member of? | |
|---|----------|
| Responses | N |
| INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF ARSON INVESTIGATORS | 257 |
| INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BOMB TECHNICIANS AND INVESTIGATORS | 145 |
| INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY OF EXPLOSIVE ENGINEERS | 21 |
| NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIRE INVESTIGATORS | 53 |
| NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION | 118 |
| STATE CHAPTER OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF ARSON INVESTIGATORS | 229 |
| WORLD EOD FOUNDATION | 10 |

| Responses to Question 19h - Other professional organizations you are a member of: | | |
|--|--|------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency |
| MARYLAND AIA | | 7 |
| FOP | | 5 |
| CA CONFERENCE OF ARSON INVEST. | | 5 |
| ASIS | | 4 |
| IASIU | | 4 |
| IAI | | 3 |
| PFFIA | | 3 |
| OAKLAND ASSOC OF ARSON/FIRE INVEST | | 2 |

| | |
|--|---|
| ISFSI | 2 |
| COUNTY ARSON INVEST ASSOC. | 2 |
| IACP | 2 |
| IAFF | 2 |
| W TX FIRE/ARSON INV | 2 |
| ASTM | 2 |
| NONE | 2 |
| BROWN COUNTY ARSON TASK FORCE | 1 |
| LOCAL ASSOCIATION | 1 |
| SFPE | 1 |
| FACAD | 1 |
| IAFC | 1 |
| NPGA | 1 |
| NEW ZEALAND FIRE INVEST ASSOC | 1 |
| ATF K-9 UNIT | 1 |
| NORTH AMERICAN WORK DOG ASSOC. | 1 |
| CFI IAAI | 1 |
| SOSCPA | 1 |
| IL FIRE CHEIFS ASSOC | 1 |
| METRO. AIA | 1 |
| SOCIETY OF AUTOMOTIVEE FORENSIC EX | 1 |
| NEW ENGLAND BOMB TECH ASSOC | 1 |
| CAC | 1 |
| CO FIRE TRAINING OFFICERS ASSOC. | 1 |
| FIRE MARSHALS ASSOC. OF OKLAHOMA | 1 |
| FL COUNCIL ARSON PREVENTION | 1 |
| SOCIETY OF FIRE PROTECTION ENGINEER | 1 |
| ASLET | 1 |
| MASTERBLASTERINT.(RETIREUSAFEODASSO | 1 |
| MFIA | 1 |
| NAT'L SOCIETY OF PROF INSURANCE INV | 1 |

| | |
|--|---|
| ICBO | 1 |
| CANADIAN ASS. ARSON INVEST. | 1 |
| MAFS | 1 |
| ENGINEERING COUNCIL ENGLAND | 1 |
| LOCAL BOMB TECH'S ASSOC. | 1 |
| FACULTY STUDENTASSOC.NY FIRE ACADEM | 1 |
| CFE | 1 |
| IAATI | 1 |
| CCAI | 1 |
| MARINE INVESTIGATORS | 1 |
| ASFA | 1 |
| NORTH AMERICAN FIRE MARSHAL'S ASSOC | 1 |
| SEVERAL LOCAL ARSON TASK FORCES | 1 |
| ID PEACE OFFICER ASSN | 1 |
| OAO | 1 |
| NFA ALUMNI | 1 |
| LOCAL IABTI | 1 |
| IFCI | 1 |
| WI ASSOC. OF FIRE INSPECTORS | 1 |
| PAAI | 1 |

| Responses to Question 19h - Additional professional organizations you are a member of: | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency |
| | IACP | 2 |
| | UDT | 1 |
| | SFDE | 1 |
| | IFSS | 1 |
| | FACAP | 1 |
| | ISFSI | 1 |
| | CENTRAL VALLEY ARSON INV. | 1 |
| | LOCAL ARSON INVEST. | 1 |

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| EPI | 1 |
| NFPA | 1 |
| CUSA | 1 |
| NATIONAL FIRE ACADEMY | 1 |
| ASM | 1 |
| AFTE | 1 |
| CII | 1 |
| ACFE | 1 |
| PSSI | 1 |
| CPA | 1 |

| Responses to Question 19h - Additional professional organizations you are a member of: | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| Responses | | Frequency |
| | AICPA | 2 |
| | FLEDA | 1 |

| Responses to Question 19h - Additional professional organizations you are a member of: | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| Responses | | Frequency |
| | FACAP | 1 |
| | ICCA | 1 |

| Responses to Question 20 - Are you certified in your field? | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--|
| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent | |
| YES | 282 | 66.8 | 67.8 | 67.8 | |
| NO | 134 | 31.8 | 32.2 | 100.0 | |
| Total | 416 | 98.6 | 100.0 | | |
| Missing | 6 | 1.4 | | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | | |

| Responses to Question 20a: With whom are you certified? | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|
| Responses | | Frequency |
| | IAAI | 65 |
| | FBI | 42 |

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| ATF | 16 |
| NFA | 11 |
| REDSTONE/HDS | 10 |
| NAFI | 10 |
| CA STATE | 8 |
| NY STATE | 8 |
| TX STATE | 6 |
| IL STATE | 6 |
| MO STATE | 6 |
| NFPA | 6 |
| VA STATE | 4 |
| DOJ | 3 |
| NC STATE | 3 |
| PA STATE | 3 |
| MD STATE | 3 |
| MI STATE | 3 |
| NM STATE | 2 |
| CFI | 2 |
| MS STATE | 2 |
| FL STATE | 2 |
| COLORADO PA | 1 |
| NICID | 1 |
| MS FIRE ACADEMY | 1 |
| REDSTONE | 1 |
| IAAT | 1 |
| SAFE | 1 |
| POSTAL | 1 |
| GLENCO | 1 |
| FRAUD CLAIM LAW | 1 |
| OR STATE | 1 |
| SFM | 1 |

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| FRAUD EXAMINER | 1 |
| FDLE | 1 |
| KS STATE-POLICE | 1 |
| DOD | 1 |
| AL STATE | 1 |
| WA STATE | 1 |
| CG | 1 |
| PROV. OF ALBERTA CANADA | 1 |
| KS STATE | 1 |
| OSP | 1 |
| CO STATE | 1 |
| ICBO | 1 |
| BDC | 1 |
| NH STATE | 1 |
| WI STATE | 1 |
| IN LAW ENFORCE. TRAINING | 1 |
| WY IAAI | 1 |
| IN STATE | 1 |
| AICPA | 1 |
| NBSCAB | 1 |
| COUNTY CARRIED | 1 |
| FAA | 1 |
| UNIVERSITY MD | 1 |
| STATE FIRE COLLEGE | 1 |
| OH STATE | 1 |
| ARMY EOD | 1 |
| NYPD | 1 |
| IASIU | 1 |
| FL STATE FIRE COLLEGE | 1 |
| NJ STATE | 1 |
| NYFD | 1 |

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| NAT PRO QUAL SY | 1 |
| ARMY CID | 1 |
| P.E. | 1 |
| TCFP | 1 |
| WV STATE AS LAW ENFORCE. | 1 |
| AK IAAI | 1 |
| PEMA | 1 |
| WV STATE | 1 |
| STATE | 1 |
| ABC | 1 |
| LA STATE | 1 |
| NE LAW ENFORCEMENT | 1 |
| Total | 422 |

Responses to Question 20a - Additional Certifications:

| Responses | | Frequency |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | FBI | 12 |
| | REDSTONE/HDS | 9 |
| | NAFI | 8 |
| | CFI | 6 |
| | IAAI | 5 |
| | BDC | 3 |
| | ATF | 3 |
| | NFPA | 2 |
| | PA STATE | 2 |
| | MO STATE | 2 |
| | CA STATE | 2 |
| | NFPA 1033 | 2 |
| | NATIONAL | 2 |
| | IABTI | 2 |
| | NBFSPQ | 2 |

| | | |
|--|----------------------|---|
| | ACFE | 2 |
| | NFA | 1 |
| | CES | 1 |
| | MA STATE | 1 |
| | NJ STATE | 1 |
| | NY STATE | 1 |
| | PUBLICACCOUNT | 1 |
| | NCDJ | 1 |
| | OMAHA POLICE | 1 |
| | FSFM | 1 |
| | HOS | 1 |
| | POST | 1 |
| | TX STATE | 1 |
| | IN STATE | 1 |
| | SFPS | 1 |
| | NFFI | 1 |
| | HDS | 1 |
| | CA CONFERENCE | 1 |
| | MD STATE | 1 |
| | CT STATE | 1 |
| | US ARMY | 1 |
| | WY STATE | 1 |
| | USAFEOD | 1 |
| | DCJS | 1 |
| | ARMY | 1 |
| | FEDERAL | 1 |
| | LA STATE | 1 |

Responses to Question 20a - Additional Certifications:

| | Responses | Frequency |
|--|----------------------|------------------|
| | INTERNATIONAL | 1 |

| | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| | ATF | 1 |
| | USMC/NAVORSTA EOD | 1 |
| | DCJS | 1 |
| | MILITARY EOD GRAD | 1 |

| Statistics | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | FOLLOW NFPA 921 GUIDELINES FOR FIRE | FOLLOW DEPARTMENT GUIDELINES FOR FIRE | FOLLOW "OTHER" PUBLISHED GUIDELINES | FOLLOW ATF PUBLISHED GUIDELINES FOR EXPLOSION INVESTIGATION | FOLLOW FBI GUIDELINES FOR EXPLOSION INVESTIGATIONS | FOLLOW "OTHER" EXPLOSION GUIDELINES |
| N | Valid | 313 | 250 | 422 | 222 | 119 | 422 |
| | Missing | 109 | 172 | 0 | 200 | 303 | 0 |

| Responses to Question 21a - Do you apply NFPA 921 guidelines to your fire investigations? | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 312 | 73.9 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
| | NO | 1 | .2 | .3 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 313 | 74.2 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 109 | 25.8 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 21b - Do you apply Department guidelines to your fire investigations? | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 250 | 59.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | Missing | 172 | 40.8 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 21c - What other published guidelines do you apply to your fire investigations? | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | | 353 | 83.6 | 83.6 | 83.6 |
| | KIRK'S | 15 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 87.2 |
| | STATE | 6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 88.6 |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|----|----|------|
| FBI | 3 | .7 | .7 | 89.3 |
| VARIOUS TEXT BOOKS | 3 | .7 | .7 | 90.0 |
| EXPERIENCE | 2 | .5 | .5 | 90.5 |
| NFA | 2 | .5 | .5 | 91.0 |
| KIRKS | 2 | .5 | .5 | 91.5 |
| ATF | 2 | .5 | .5 | 91.9 |
| IAAI/MS FIREINVASSN | 1 | .2 | .2 | 92.2 |
| SBCI | 1 | .2 | .2 | 92.4 |
| MULTI SOURCES | 1 | .2 | .2 | 92.7 |
| NV REVISED STATUTES | 1 | .2 | .2 | 92.9 |
| TECH RESEARCH PUBS | 1 | .2 | .2 | 93.1 |
| KIRK'S, NFPA | 1 | .2 | .2 | 93.4 |
| REFERENCE LIBRARY | 1 | .2 | .2 | 93.6 |
| CA DISTRICTATTORNEY | 1 | .2 | .2 | 93.8 |
| KIRK'S/NFPACA. DA | 1 | .2 | .2 | 94.1 |
| OSHA & OTHER NFPA | 1 | .2 | .2 | 94.3 |
| FIRE FINDINGS | 1 | .2 | .2 | 94.5 |
| ASTM GUIDELINES | 1 | .2 | .2 | 94.8 |
| NFPA 906M | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.0 |
| IAAI | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.3 |
| FBI BOMB RESPONSE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.5 |
| USACIDC | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.7 |
| FBI, ATF | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.0 |
| NATIONAL/FEDERAL | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.2 |
| KENNEDY'S FIRE INVE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.4 |
| DEPT OF INSURANCE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.7 |
| TRADITION | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.9 |
| PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.2 |
| NFPA HANDBOOK | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.4 |
| PERIODICALS | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.6 |
| ASTM, ASCLD-LAB | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.9 |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| CDF | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.1 |
| CODE BOOK | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.3 |
| KIRK'S, INTERFIRE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.6 |
| ALL | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.8 |
| SOP'S | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.1 |
| DOD REGS | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.3 |
| AICPA LITIGATION ST | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.5 |
| OSHA | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.8 |
| CG MARINE SAFETYMAN | 1 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

| Responses to Question 21d - Do you apply ATF guidelines to your explosion investigations? | | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 221 | 52.4 | 99.5 | 99.5 |
| | NO | 1 | .2 | .5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 222 | 52.6 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 200 | 47.4 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 21e - Do you apply FBI guidelines to your explosion investigations? | | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 119 | 28.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | Missing | 303 | 71.8 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 21f - What other published guidelines do you apply to your explosion investigations? | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | | 355 | 84.1 | 84.1 | 84.1 |
| | DEPARTMENTAL | 20 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 88.9 |
| | NFPA 921 | 16 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 92.7 |
| | IABTI | 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 93.8 |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| POSTAL | 3 | .7 | .7 | 94.5 |
| STATE | 3 | .7 | .7 | 95.3 |
| DOD-EOD | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.5 |
| VARIES | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.7 |
| NONE (YET) | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.0 |
| BOSTON POLICE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.2 |
| KIRK'S | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.4 |
| IABTI/BRODI | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.7 |
| PRIVATE SECTOR | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.9 |
| CO BUREAU INVESTIGATION | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.2 |
| BLASTERS HNDBK | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.4 |
| 921 | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.6 |
| US ARMY | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.9 |
| EXPLOSIVE HANDLING/SHIPMENT | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.1 |
| DETONATOR | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.3 |
| 921 & KIRKS | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.6 |
| NJ STATE POLICE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.8 |
| REFERENCE LIBRARY | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.1 |
| FAAORDER | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.3 |
| MD F.M. BOMB SQUAD | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.5 |
| OSHA | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.8 |
| HDS/MILITARY | 1 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

| Responses to Question 22: Does your department use Standard Operating Procedures/Guidelines? | | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| YES | 353 | 83.6 | 85.9 | 85.9 |
| NO | 58 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 411 | 97.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 11 | 2.6 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 23a: Does your department have any formal MOUs or MAPs with municipal agencies? | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 204 | 48.3 | 62.2 | 62.2 |
| | NO | 124 | 29.4 | 37.8 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 328 | 77.7 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 94 | 22.3 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 23b: Does your department have any formal MOUs or MAPs with county agencies? | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 196 | 46.4 | 59.4 | 59.4 |
| | NO | 134 | 31.8 | 40.6 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 330 | 78.2 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 92 | 21.8 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 23c: Does your department have any formal MOUs or MAPs with state agencies? | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 177 | 41.9 | 57.5 | 57.5 |
| | NO | 131 | 31.0 | 42.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 308 | 73.0 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 114 | 27.0 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 23d: Does your department have any formal MOUs or MAPs with federal agencies? | | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 167 | 39.6 | 55.9 | 55.9 |
| | NO | 132 | 31.3 | 44.1 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 299 | 70.9 | 100.0 | |

| | | | | |
|----------------|-----|-------|--|--|
| Missing | 123 | 29.1 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 24 - Are you a member of a formal task force? | | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | NO | 286 | 67.8 | 69.6 | 69.6 |
| | YES | 125 | 29.6 | 30.4 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 411 | 97.4 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 11 | 2.6 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 25a - As an investigator of fire scenes, have you had formal training in the investigation of fire scenes? | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 337 | 79.9 | 97.7 | 97.7 |
| | NO | 8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 345 | 81.8 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 77 | 18.2 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 25b - As an investigator of fire scenes, how many hours of training have you had? | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| LOCAL FIRE INVESTIGATION TRAINING | 187 | 0 | 3000 | 181.99 | 332.38 |
| STATE FIRE INVESTIGATION | 222 | 7 | 2000 | 220.51 | 284.60 |
| FEDERAL FIRE INVESTIGATION TRAINING | 224 | 5 | 2000 | 149.61 | 202.82 |
| PRIVATE FIRE INVESTIGATION TRAINING | 117 | 5 | 5000 | 279.88 | 682.68 |

| Responses to Questions 26 & 27a: On a scale of 1 to 7, rate the importance of the following as part of the educational training in fire scene investigations (1=Not Important, 7=Very Important) | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|---|----|------|------|
| FORMAL TRAINING | 348 | 2 | 7 | 6.73 | .61 |
| CHEMISTRY AND PHYSICS OF FIRE COURSES | 348 | 2 | 7 | 6.01 | 1.15 |
| FIRE DYNAMICS COURSES | 347 | 3 | 7 | 6.18 | .98 |
| BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND ITS COMPONENTS COURSES | 348 | 3 | 7 | 5.57 | 1.06 |
| DETERMINING POINT OF ORIGIN COURSES | 349 | 1 | 7 | 6.77 | .62 |
| ACCIDENTAL FIRE CAUSES COURSES | 349 | 3 | 7 | 6.41 | .86 |
| INDICATORS OF INCENDIARISM COURSES | 348 | 3 | 7 | 6.43 | .84 |
| INCENDIARY DEVICES, EXPLOSIONS, AND EXPLOSIVES COURSES | 348 | 0 | 7 | 6.13 | 1.12 |
| AUTOMOBILE FIRE COURSES | 347 | 1 | 7 | 5.63 | 1.25 |
| FATAL FIRE INVESTIGATION COURSES | 348 | 1 | 7 | 6.29 | 1.13 |
| FIRE SCENE INVESTIGATION COURSES | 349 | 4 | 8 | 6.72 | .57 |
| COLLECTION AND PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE COURSES | 349 | 3 | 7 | 6.60 | .71 |
| MOTIVATION OF FIRESETTER COURSES | 347 | 1 | 7 | 5.37 | 1.22 |
| REPORT WRITING COURSES | 347 | 1 | 7 | 5.97 | 1.15 |
| INTERVIEWS AND INTERROGATIONS COURSES | 348 | 1 | 7 | 6.20 | 1.07 |
| MANAGEMENT OF FIRE SCENES COURSES | 346 | 1 | 7 | 5.70 | 1.31 |
| USE OF MULTIPLE AGENCIES COURSES | 343 | 1 | 7 | 4.88 | 1.42 |
| FIRE DEMONSTRATIONS COURSES | 345 | 1 | 7 | 5.34 | 1.40 |
| PRACTICAL, HANDS ON SCENE PROCESSING (FIRE) COURSES | 348 | 2 | 10 | 6.46 | .91 |
| COURTROOM PREPARATION AND TESTIMONY (FIRE) COURSES | 347 | 1 | 10 | 6.10 | 1.17 |
| SEARCH AND SEIZURE (FIRE) COURSES | 346 | 0 | 10 | 5.76 | 1.44 |
| AIRCRAFT AND WATERCRAFT FIRES COURSES | 339 | 1 | 7 | 4.15 | 1.69 |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Valid N (listwise) | 328 | | | | |
|--------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|

Responses to Question 27b - What other courses are important as part of the educational training in fire scene investigations?

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | 397 | 94.1 | 94.1 | 94.1 |
| WILDLAND FIRES | 3 | .7 | .7 | 94.8 |
| ELECTRICAL FIRES | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.0 |
| K9 ACCELERANT DETECTION | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.3 |
| PHOTOGRAPHY&SCENESKETCHIN | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.5 |
| UNDERSTANDINGWHATLABCANDO | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.7 |
| AGRICULTURE/FARM EQUIP. | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.0 |
| EXPERT TESTIMONY | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.2 |
| PRACTICAL*>BURNBUILDINGS | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.4 |
| USE OF REG/LOCAL RESOURCE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.7 |
| FINANCIAL INVEST TECHNIQU | 1 | .2 | .2 | 96.9 |
| DOCUMENTATION OF FIRE SCE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.2 |
| HAZ MAT | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.4 |
| SCENE PHOTOGRAPHY | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.6 |
| JUVENILE FIRESETTERS | 1 | .2 | .2 | 97.9 |
| OJT W/MENTOR | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.1 |
| BASIC POLICE TRAINING | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.3 |
| MOBILE HOUSES & RVS | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.6 |
| INTERNET RESOURCES -FIRE | 1 | .2 | .2 | 98.8 |
| HANDS ON SITE SCENE PROC | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.1 |
| GAS & ELECTRIC APPLIANCES | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.3 |
| CASE LAW-LEGAL ARREST | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.5 |
| USE POLICE AT FIRES | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.8 |
| PHOTOGRAPHY | 1 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Responses to Question 28 - What maximum duration training course for fire scene investigation

| would be supported by your department? | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | TWO WEEKS | 162 | 38.4 | 47.9 | 47.9 |
| | MORE THAN TWO WEEKS | 83 | 19.7 | 24.6 | 72.5 |
| | ONE WEEK | 74 | 17.5 | 21.9 | 94.4 |
| | LESS THAN ONE WEEK | 19 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 338 | 80.1 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 84 | 19.9 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 29a - Which criteria should be used for qualifying individuals to conduct fire scene investigations? | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | ON THE JOB TRAINING TIME | 94 | 22.3 | 27.4 | 27.4 |
| | NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS | 14 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 31.5 |
| | BOTH ON THE JOB TRAINING TIME AND NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS | 235 | 55.7 | 68.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 343 | 81.3 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 79 | 18.7 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 29b - How much on the job training should be used to qualify individuals to conduct fire scene investigations? | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | ONE TO TWO YEARS | 122 | 28.9 | 37.7 | 37.7 |
| | BETWEEN SEVEN MONTHS AND ONE YEAR | 88 | 20.9 | 27.2 | 64.8 |
| | MORE THAN TWO YEARS | 63 | 14.9 | 19.4 | 84.3 |
| | BETWEEN ONE AND SIX MONTHS | 51 | 12.1 | 15.7 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 324 | 76.8 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 98 | 23.2 | | |

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----|-------|--|--|
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |
|--------------|-----|-------|--|--|

Responses to Question 29c - How many investigations should be required to qualify individuals to conduct fire scene investigations?

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 26-50 | 88 | 20.9 | 34.6 | 34.6 |
| 51-100 | 61 | 14.5 | 24.0 | 58.7 |
| 11-25 | 47 | 11.1 | 18.5 | 77.2 |
| <100 | 35 | 8.3 | 13.8 | 90.9 |
| 1-10 | 23 | 5.5 | 9.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 254 | 60.2 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 168 | 39.8 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 30 - On a scale of 1 to 7, Rate how often you use each of the following (1=Never, 7=Always):

| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|--|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| SETTING AND SECURING A PERIMETER | 344 | 0 | 7 | 5.05 | 1.93 |
| LIMIT ACCESS TO ONLY ESSENTIAL PERSONNEL | 344 | 0 | 7 | 5.78 | 1.66 |
| SEARCHING FOR SECONDARY AND/OR ADDITIONAL DEVICES | 342 | 1 | 7 | 5.64 | 1.80 |
| RESPIRATORY PROTECTION EQUIPMENT | 343 | 0 | 7 | 3.92 | 1.87 |
| OTHER FORMS OF PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT | 342 | 1 | 7 | 5.39 | 1.57 |
| WEAR NON-CONTAMINATED CLOTHING, FOOTWEAR, GLOVES, ETC | 343 | 1 | 7 | 5.29 | 1.86 |
| PHOTOGRAPH THE SCENE PRIOR TO AND DURING THE ENTRY AND EXIT | 343 | 0 | 7 | 6.38 | 1.29 |
| SKETCH THE SCENE | 343 | 1 | 7 | 5.94 | 1.31 |
| VIDEO RECORD THE SCENE PRIOR TO AND DURING THE ENTRY AND EXIT | 340 | 0 | 7 | 3.45 | 2.04 |
| CONDUCT A PERIMETER SCENE SEARCH | 343 | 1 | 7 | 5.97 | 1.37 |
| LOCATE THE POINT OF ORIGIN | 343 | 1 | 8 | 6.83 | .56 |
| COLLECT EVIDENCE AND PLACE IT IN | 343 | 1 | 7 | 6.25 | 1.21 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|---|---|------|------|
| APPROPRIATE CONTAINERS | | | | | |
| ALWAYS BEING AWARE OF CROSS-CONTAMINATION AND AVOIDING IT | 343 | 1 | 8 | 6.27 | 1.20 |
| DOCUMENT EACH ITEM OF EVIDENCE AND LOG IT | 344 | 1 | 8 | 6.44 | 1.19 |
| SENDING THE EVIDENCE TO APPROPRIATE LAB(S) FOR ANALYSIS | 341 | 1 | 6 | 6.20 | 1.41 |
| CLEAN SCENE EQUIPMENT--AFTER EACH SAMPLE IS COLLECTED | 342 | 1 | 8 | 5.94 | 1.61 |
| SUBMITTING REFERENCE AND COMPARISON SAMPLES | 342 | 1 | 7 | 5.72 | 1.65 |
| COMPLY WITH SEARCH AND SEIZURE REQUIREMENTS | 342 | 1 | 8 | 6.27 | 1.56 |

| Responses to Question 31a - What types of containers do you use in submitting fire debris to a laboratory for accelerant examination? | |
|--|----------|
| Responses | N |
| UNLINED METAL CANS | 280 |
| LINED METAL CANS | 88 |
| GLASS CONTAINERS | 140 |
| PLASTIC CONTAINERS | 46 |
| PAPER BAGS | 101 |
| NYLON BAGS | 32 |
| KAPAK BAGS | 30 |
| PLASTIC (POLYETHYLENE) BAGS | 57 |
| CARDBOARD BOXES | 64 |

| Responses to Question 31b - What other types of containers do you use in submitting fire debris to a laboratory for accelerant examination? | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------|
| Responses | | N |
| | PLASTIC FLUID JAR | 2 |
| | BUCKETS/5GALLON LI | 1 |
| | FILM CANS | 1 |
| | ZIPLOCK BAGS | 1 |
| | NYLON TO UNLINED | 1 |

| | | |
|--|---------------------------|---|
| | ROLLED POLYETHYLEN | 1 |
| | F(OF THE SPECIMAN) | 1 |

| Responses to Question 32a - Have you had formal training in the investigation of bombing crime scenes? | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 208 | 49.3 | 95.4 | 95.4 |
| | NO | 10 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 218 | 51.7 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 204 | 48.3 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 32b - How many hours of formal training in the investigation of bombing crime scenes have you had at the following levels? | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| LOCAL | 60 | 8 | 1200 | 114.42 | 202.89 |
| STATE | 86 | 4 | 1000 | 86.47 | 159.83 |
| FEDERAL | 156 | 5 | 1000 | 148.85 | 164.09 |
| PRIVATE | 32 | 10 | 1000 | 123.19 | 189.24 |

| Responses to Questions 33 and 34 - On a scale of 1 to 7, rate the importance of the following as part of educational training in bombing scene investigations (1=Not Important, 7=Very Important): | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| FORMAL TRAINING | 220 | 2 | 7 | 6.75 | .63 |
| KNOWLEDGE OF FORENSIC LABORATORY CAPABILITIES | 214 | 1 | 7 | 5.68 | 1.29 |
| EXPLOSION THEORY AND BEHAVIOR | 215 | 2 | 7 | 6.36 | .95 |
| COMMERCIAL AND MILITARY EXPLOSIVE IDENTIFICATION | 215 | 1 | 7 | 5.91 | 1.26 |
| EXPLOSIVE DEVICE CONSTRUCTION METHODS AND FUSING SYSTEMS | 215 | 2 | 7 | 6.49 | .89 |
| IDENTIFICATION FEATURES OF BOMB COMPONENTS FOLLOWING AN EXPLOSION | 215 | 2 | 7 | 6.64 | .75 |
| EVIDENCE COLLECTION PROCEDURES AT A BOMBING SCENE | 215 | 2 | 7 | 6.62 | .76 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|---|----|------|------|
| MANAGEMENT OF BOMBING SCENES | 215 | 2 | 7 | 6.19 | 1.05 |
| BOMB THREAT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES | 215 | 1 | 7 | 5.13 | 1.59 |
| WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION | 212 | 1 | 7 | 5.38 | 1.56 |
| REPORT WRITING | 215 | 2 | 7 | 5.82 | 1.27 |
| INTERVIEWS AND INTERROGATIONS | 215 | 2 | 7 | 6.00 | 1.23 |
| MANAGEMENT OF MAJOR BOMBING SCENES (WORLD TRADE CENTER, OKLAHOMA CITY) | 214 | 1 | 10 | 5.64 | 1.52 |
| IDENTIFICATION FEATURES OF MILITARY ORDNANCE | 215 | 1 | 7 | 5.56 | 1.40 |
| EXPLOSIVES DEMONSTRATIONS | 214 | 1 | 7 | 5.46 | 1.44 |
| PRACTICAL, HANDS-ON SCENE PROCESSING | 215 | 2 | 7 | 6.61 | .77 |
| SEARCH AND SEIZURE, INCLUDING OCMPUTER SYSTEMS | 214 | 1 | 7 | 5.83 | 1.30 |
| COURTROOM PREPARTION AND PRESENTATION | 215 | 2 | 7 | 5.94 | 1.21 |
| CONTAMINATION ISSUES | 215 | 1 | 7 | 6.16 | 1.06 |
| DOCUMENTATION OF THE SCENE | 214 | 2 | 7 | 6.42 | .94 |
| BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND ITS COMPONENTS | 215 | 2 | 7 | 5.46 | 1.19 |
| VEHICLE CONSTRUCTION (SMALL, LARGE, AIRCRAFT AND WATERCRAFT) | 214 | 1 | 7 | 5.19 | 1.19 |
| CHEMISTRY OF EXPLOSIVES AND EXPLOSIONS | 214 | 1 | 7 | 5.80 | 1.28 |
| FRAGMENTATION ANALYSIS | 214 | 2 | 10 | 6.06 | 1.13 |

| Responses to Question 35 - What maximum duration training course for explosion scene investigation would be supported by your department? | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | TWO WEEKS | 93 | 22.0 | 43.9 | 43.9 |
| | MORE THAN TWO WEEKS | 59 | 14.0 | 27.8 | 71.7 |
| | ONE WEEK | 51 | 12.1 | 24.1 | 95.8 |
| | LESS THAN A WEEK | 9 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 212 | 50.2 | 100.0 | |

| | | | | |
|----------------|-----|-------|--|--|
| Missing | 210 | 49.8 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 36a - Which criteria should be used for qualifying individuals to conduct explosion scene investigations? | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | BOTH ON THE JOB TRAINING AND NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS | 161 | 38.2 | 74.5 | 74.5 |
| | ON THE JOB TRAINING | 43 | 10.2 | 19.9 | 94.4 |
| | NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS | 12 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 216 | 51.2 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | | 206 | 48.8 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 36b - How much On the Job training time should be used to qualify an individual to conduct explosion scene investigations? | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | MORE THAN ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS | 65 | 15.4 | 31.9 | 31.9 |
| | BETWEEN SEVEN MONTHS AND ONE YEAR | 61 | 14.5 | 29.9 | 61.8 |
| | MORE THAN TWO YEARS | 44 | 10.4 | 21.6 | 83.3 |
| | BETWEEN ONE AND SIX MONTHS | 34 | 8.1 | 16.7 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 204 | 48.3 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | | 218 | 51.7 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 36c - How many investigations should be used to qualify an individual to conduct explosion scene investigations? | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | 11-25 | 65 | 15.4 | 37.1 | 37.1 |
| | 1-10 | 48 | 11.4 | 27.4 | 64.6 |
| | 26-50 | 41 | 9.7 | 23.4 | 88.0 |
| | 51-100 | 16 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 97.1 |

| | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | MORE THAN 100 | 5 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 175 | 41.5 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 247 | 58.5 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 37 - On a scale of 1 to 7, rate how often you use each of the following (1=Never, 7=Always): | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| SET AND SECURE A PERIMETER UNTIL AN INVESTIGATOR ARRIVES | 206 | 1 | 7 | 5.48 | 2.22 |
| SEARCH FOR SECONDARY AND/OR ADDITIONAL DEVICES | 207 | 1 | 7 | 6.22 | 1.50 |
| CALL IN A BOMB TEAM AND/OR BOMB TECHNICIAN | 202 | 1 | 7 | 5.57 | 2.07 |
| RESPIRATORY PROTECTION EQUIPMENT | 208 | 0 | 7 | 3.91 | 1.88 |
| OTHER FORMS OF PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT | 208 | 1 | 7 | 5.51 | 1.49 |
| WEAR NON-CONTAMINATED CLOTHING, FOOTWEAR, GLOVES, ETC. | 208 | 1 | 7 | 5.13 | 1.92 |
| PHOTOGRAPH THE SCENE PRIOR TO AND DURING THE ENTRY AND EXIT | 209 | 1 | 7 | 6.05 | 1.70 |
| VIDEO RECORD THE SCENE PRIOR TO AND DURING THE ENTRY AND EXIT | 208 | 1 | 7 | 4.27 | 2.11 |
| LOCATE THE FARTHEST PIECE OF EVIDENCE | 208 | 1 | 7 | 6.34 | 1.33 |
| LOCATE THE CENTER OF THE BLAST | 208 | 1 | 7 | 6.66 | .95 |
| COLLECT EVIDENCE AND PLACE IN APPROPRIATE CONTAINER | 207 | 1 | 7 | 6.57 | 1.02 |
| ARE ALWAYS BEING AWARE OF CROSS-CONTAMINATION AND AVOIDING IT | 208 | 1 | 7 | 6.31 | 1.25 |
| DOCUMENT EACH ITEM OF EVIDENCE AND LOG IT IN | 208 | 1 | 7 | 6.50 | 1.16 |
| SEND THE EVIDENCE TO APPROPRIATE LAB FOR ANALYSIS | 208 | 1 | 7 | 6.35 | 1.29 |
| TAKE CRATER MEASUREMENTS | 208 | 1 | 7 | 5.75 | 1.60 |
| DOCUMENT SIGN BENDING | 207 | 1 | 7 | 4.94 | 1.95 |
| DOCUMENT GLASS BREAKAGE | 207 | 1 | 7 | 5.22 | 1.74 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|---|---|------|------|
| DOCUMENT STRUCTURAL FAILURE | 207 | 1 | 7 | 5.37 | 1.80 |
|------------------------------------|-----|---|---|------|------|

| Responses to Question 38 - What types of containers do you use in submitting explosion debris to a laboratory for examination? | |
|---|----------|
| Responses | N |
| UNLINED METAL CANS | 173 |
| LINED METAL CANS | 53 |
| GLASS CONTAINERS | 95 |
| PLASTIC CONTAINERS | 57 |
| PAPER BAGS | 122 |
| NYLON BAGS | 32 |
| KAPAK BAGS | 29 |
| PLASTIC (POLYETHYLENE) BAGS | 71 |
| CARDBOARD BOXES | 104 |
| ANTI-STATIC BAGS | 43 |

| Responses to Question 38b - Other types of containers used in submitting explosion debris to a laboratory for examination: | |
|---|----------|
| Responses | N |
| PAPER ENV, FILM CONT | 1 |

| Responses to Question 39 - What extent of funding does your agency provide to continuing education and training in the field of fire/explosion investigation? | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | FULL FUNDING | 272 | 64.5 | 66.5 | 66.5 |
| | PARTIAL FUNDING | 104 | 24.6 | 25.4 | 91.9 |
| | NO FUNDING | 33 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 409 | 96.9 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 13 | 3.1 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 40 - On a scale of 1 to 7, To what extent does your agency encourage continuing college level course work for each investigator? (1=Not Encouraging, 7=Very Encouraging) | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|---|---|------|------|
| RATING OF ENCOURAGEMENT | 405 | 1 | 7 | 4.15 | 2.11 |
|--------------------------------|-----|---|---|------|------|

| Responses to Question 41 - On a scale of 1 to 7, how interested would you be in taking college level courses (1=Not Interested, 7=Very Interested)? | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| ON CAMPUS | 313 | 1 | 7 | 5.19 | 1.96 |
| DISTANCE LEARNING (CORRESPONDENCE) | 355 | 0 | 7 | 5.70 | 1.65 |
| DISTRIBUTED LEARNING VIA THE WEB | 316 | 1 | 7 | 5.69 | 1.73 |

| Responses to Question 42 - On a scale of 1 to 7, how important would each of the following resources be to you as a fire or explosion investigator (1=Not Important, 7=Very Important)? | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| LIST OF PEOPLE WORKING IN THE FIELD-PRIVATE AND GOVERNMENT | 408 | 1 | 7 | 5.10 | 1.56 |
| CREATION OF A SECURE INTERNET LINK FOR EMAIL AND INFORMATION EXCHANGE BETWEEN PROFESSIONALS IN THE FIELD | 407 | 1 | 7 | 5.56 | 1.44 |
| ESTABLISHMENT OF SCENE GUIDELINES | 407 | 1 | 7 | 5.56 | 1.61 |
| CREATION OF A GLOSSARY OF ANALYTICAL, EXPLOSIVES, AND FIRE INVESTIGATIVE TECHNOLOGY | 408 | 1 | 7 | 5.62 | 1.31 |
| CREATION OF A NATIONAL DATABASE FOR TRACKING BOMBING MATTERS | 400 | 1 | 7 | 5.61 | 1.68 |
| CREATION OF A NATIONAL DATABASE FOR TRACKING ARSON MATTERS | 405 | 1 | 7 | 5.87 | 1.50 |
| ESTABLISHMENT OF A NATIONAL RESOURCE DATABASE FOR INVESTIGATIVE EXPERTISE | 408 | 1 | 7 | 5.90 | 1.35 |
| ESTABLISHMENT OF A NATIONAL EXPLOSIVES FORMULATION DATABASE | 398 | 1 | 7 | 5.30 | 1.69 |
| CREATION OF A BULLETIN BOARD FOR COMMUNICATION BETWEEN EXPLOSION INVESTIGATORS | 397 | 1 | 7 | 5.15 | 1.74 |
| CREATION OF A BULLETIN BOARD FOR COMMUNICATION BETWEEN FIRE | 403 | 1 | 7 | 5.53 | 1.51 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|---|---|------|------|
| INVESTIGATORS | | | | | |
| DATABASE OF EXPLOSION INVESTIGATIVE TRAINING MANUALS AND MATERIALS | 400 | 1 | 7 | 5.60 | 1.53 |
| INFORMATION CENTER FOR INTER-AGENCY TRAINING EXERCISES | 403 | 1 | 7 | 5.60 | 1.46 |
| ACCESS TO LABORATORY EXPERTS AT THE SCENE | 406 | 1 | 7 | 5.73 | 1.49 |
| ACCESS TO PROSECUTORS AT THE SCENE | 402 | 1 | 7 | 5.16 | 1.76 |

| Responses to Question 43 - Can you access Internet resources from your agency? | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 366 | 86.7 | 88.8 | 88.8 |
| | NO | 46 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 412 | 97.6 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 10 | 2.4 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 44 - On a scale of 1 to 7, if any or all of the resources listed in Question 42 were made available through an Internet web site, how likely would you be to utilize that information? (1=Not Likely, 7=Very Likely) | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| LIKELIHOOD RATING | 411 | 1 | 7 | 5.91 | 1.30 |

| Responses to Question 45 - Are you familiar with the Technical Working Group for Fire and Explosions (TWGFEX)? | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | NO | 367 | 87.0 | 88.6 | 88.6 |
| | YES | 47 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 414 | 98.1 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 8 | 1.9 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 46 - On a scale of 1 to 7, how important is it to you to have a technical working group engage in the following activities (1=Not at all, 7=Very Important): | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Resources | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std | |

| | | | | | Deviation |
|---|-----|---|----|------|------------------|
| PUBLISHING GUIDELINES FOR EXPLOSION AND FIRE INVESTIGATIONS | 396 | 1 | 7 | 5.27 | 1.67 |
| VALIDATING GUIDELINES FOR EXPLOSION AND FIRE INVESTIGATIONS | 394 | 1 | 7 | 5.36 | 1.65 |
| ASSISTING FIRE AND EXPLOSION INVESTIGATORS IN GAINING CERTIFICATION | 397 | 1 | 7 | 5.58 | 1.59 |
| MAINTAINING INTERNET LIBRARY OR DATABASES OF EXPLOSION AND FIRE INVESTIGATION | 396 | 1 | 7 | 5.64 | 1.42 |
| PROMOTING/OFFERING CONTINUING EDUCATION COURSES IN EXPLOSION AND FIRE INVESTIGATION | 395 | 1 | 7 | 6.11 | 1.15 |
| TRACKING AND DISSEMINATING RESULTS OF COURT CASES INVOLVING EXPLOSION AND FIRE INVESTIGATION | 395 | 1 | 7 | 5.59 | 1.37 |
| PROMOTING INTERNATIONAL PARTICIPATION IN AN EXPLOSION AND FIRE INVESTIGATORS TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP | 395 | 1 | 10 | 5.30 | 1.53 |
| THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A COLLECTION OF METHODS AND GUIDELINES FOR EVIDENCE COLLECTION | 393 | 1 | 7 | 5.54 | 1.55 |

Responses to Question 47 - On a scale of 1 to 7, Rate how useful you would find each of the following methods for disseminating information from TWGFEX (1=Not at all useful, 7=Very useful):

| Responses | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|--|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| MAILED INFORMATION | 390 | 1 | 7 | 5.65 | 1.41 |
| PHONE CALLS | 379 | 1 | 44 | 3.76 | 2.83 |
| ELECTRONIC MAIL | 387 | 1 | 7 | 5.29 | 1.65 |
| INTERNET WEB SITES OR DATABASES | 388 | 1 | 7 | 5.69 | 1.40 |
| TRADE JOURNALS OR NEWSPAPERS | 386 | 1 | 7 | 5.19 | 1.51 |
| CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS | 389 | 1 | 7 | 5.30 | 1.49 |
| SPECIAL SEMINARS | 388 | 1 | 7 | 5.48 | 1.41 |
| ASSOCIATION NEWSLETTERS | 386 | 1 | 7 | 5.40 | 1.42 |

Responses to Question 48 - Does your agency provide fire/explosion investigative literature and

| publications for you to reference? | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 266 | 63.0 | 65.4 | 65.4 |
| | NO | 141 | 33.4 | 34.6 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 407 | 96.4 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 15 | 3.6 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 49a - Have you ever given an opinion concerning the origin and cause of a fire and/or explosion in a court of law? | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 254 | 60.2 | 61.5 | 61.5 |
| | NO | 159 | 37.7 | 38.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 413 | 97.9 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 9 | 2.1 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 49b - How many times have you given an opinion concerning the origin and cause of a fire and/or explosion in a civil court? | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | 1-5 | 105 | 24.9 | 49.1 | 49.1 |
| | 11 OR ABOVE | 70 | 16.6 | 32.7 | 81.8 |
| | 6-10 | 39 | 9.2 | 18.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 214 | 50.7 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 208 | 49.3 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 49c - How many times have you given an opinion concerning the origin and cause of a fire and/or explosion in a criminal court? | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | 1-5 | 96 | 22.7 | 41.4 | 41.4 |
| | 11 OR ABOVE | 91 | 21.6 | 39.2 | 80.6 |
| | 6-10 | 45 | 10.7 | 19.4 | 100.0 |

| | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----|-------|-------|--|
| | Total | 232 | 55.0 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 190 | 45.0 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 50 - Has your expertise been challenged under the Daubert or Kuhmo Tire v. Carmichael decision?

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| NO | 355 | 84.1 | 95.2 | 95.2 |
| YES | 18 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 373 | 88.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 49 | 11.6 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 51 - Are you EPA HAZMAT certified?

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| NO | 241 | 57.1 | 58.6 | 58.6 |
| YES | 170 | 40.3 | 41.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 411 | 97.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 11 | 2.6 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 51b - To what level are you EPA HAZMAT certified?

| Responses | N |
|------------------------|----------|
| TECHNICIAN | 38 |
| OPERATIONS | 28 |
| AWARENESS LEVEL | 10 |
| FIRST RESPONDER | 6 |
| SPECIALIST | 6 |
| RESPONDER | 5 |
| HAZ MAT TECH | 5 |
| HAZWHOPER | 4 |
| LEVEL II | 4 |
| LEVEL I | 3 |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| LEVEL A | 2 |
| LEVEL V | 2 |
| BASIC | 2 |
| TECHNICIAN III | 2 |
| INCIDENT COMMAND | 1 |
| FIRST RESPONDER/SUPERVISOR | 1 |
| INCIDENT REOP OPER | 1 |
| TECHNICIAN I | 1 |
| RI | 1 |
| HAZMAT INSTRUCTOR,TECH,MEDIC | 1 |
| SITE TECHNICIAN | 1 |
| HANDLER | 1 |
| ON SCENCE INCID | 1 |
| LEVEL III OPS | 1 |
| OPERATIONS/I.C. | 1 |
| 165.5 | 1 |
| IFSAC CERTIFIED OPERATIONS | 1 |
| TECHNICIAN IV | 1 |
| 40 HOUR | 1 |
| SPECIALIST/NFPA TECHNICIAN | 1 |
| 1C | 1 |
| A | 1 |
| LEVEL III | 1 |
| ALL LEVELS | 1 |
| HAZ MAT FIRST RESPONDER | 1 |
| TRAINER | 1 |
| FIRE RESPONDER | 1 |
| NUIEM | 1 |
| INVESTIGATIONS (GLENCOE) | 1 |
| CLASS B | 1 |
| HAZMAT COMMAND | 1 |

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| 1 | 1 |
| 48HR HAZMATTECH | 1 |

| Responses to Question 52a - Are you aware of the NFPA standards and guidelines on safety? | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 336 | 79.6 | 83.2 | 83.2 |
| | NO | 68 | 16.1 | 16.8 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 404 | 95.7 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 18 | 4.3 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 52b - Are you aware of the OSHA standards and guidelines on safety? | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 292 | 69.2 | 75.5 | 75.5 |
| | NO | 95 | 22.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 387 | 91.7 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 35 | 8.3 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 52c - Are you aware of the EPA standards and guidelines on safety? | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 230 | 54.5 | 60.7 | 60.7 |
| | NO | 149 | 35.3 | 39.3 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 379 | 89.8 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 43 | 10.2 | | |
| Total | | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 52d - Do you follow any or all of these standards and guidelines as they relate to fire investigations? | | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | YES | 290 | 68.7 | 84.3 | 84.3 |
| | NO | 54 | 12.8 | 15.7 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 344 | 81.5 | 100.0 | |

| | | | | |
|----------------|-----|-------|--|--|
| Missing | 78 | 18.5 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 52e - Do you follow any or all of these standards and guidelines as they relate to explosion investigations?

| Responses | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| YES | 170 | 40.3 | 74.9 | 74.9 |
| NO | 57 | 13.5 | 25.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 227 | 53.8 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | 195 | 46.2 | | |
| Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

Responses to Question 53 - At what level does your agency provide the following medical screening:

| Responses | N |
|--|----------|
| NO MEDICAL SCREENING | 146 |
| BASELINE SCREENING | 162 |
| PERIODIC PHYSICAL EXAM | 203 |
| PERIODIC CARDIAC STRESS TESTING | 105 |
| RESPIRATORY TESTING | 137 |

Responses to Question 53a - Frequency of periodic physical exams provided by your agency:

| Responses | N |
|---------------------------|----------|
| YEARLY | 138 |
| 2YRS | 26 |
| 3YRS | 9 |
| 5YRS | 9 |
| 1.5YRS | 3 |
| .5YRS | 2 |
| YEARLY/EACHEXP | 1 |
| PERIODIC | 1 |
| RANDOM | 1 |
| TWICE YRLY | 1 |
| AT AGE 40 & 55 | 1 |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | AGE BASED | 1 |
| | AS REQUIRED | 1 |
| | YEARLY OR PROMOTION | 1 |
| | AGE 40 IN 5YR INCREMENTS EVERYYR@50 | 1 |
| | BIANNUALLY | 1 |

Responses to Question 53b - Frequency of periodic cardiac stress testing provided by your agency:

| Responses | | N |
|------------------|------------------|----------|
| | YEARLY | 61 |
| | 2YRS | 16 |
| | 5YRS | 8 |
| | 3YRS | 5 |
| | AS NEEDED | 3 |
| | .5YRS | 2 |
| | YEARLY | 1 |
| | OVER 40 | 1 |
| | INITIAL | 1 |
| | 2.5YRS | 1 |
| | REQUEST | 1 |
| | 40YRS OLD | 1 |
| | BIANNUALL | 1 |

Responses to Question 53c - Frequency of periodic respiratory testing provided by your agency:

| Responses | | N |
|------------------|----------------|----------|
| | YEARLY | 103 |
| | 2YRS | 17 |
| | 5YRS | 6 |
| | 3YRS | 4 |
| | .5YRS | 2 |
| | REQUEST | 1 |
| | VARIES | 1 |

| Responses to Question 54 - Does your agency provide a physical fitness program? | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | NO | 255 | 60.4 | 64.1 | 64.1 |
| | YES | 143 | 33.9 | 35.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 398 | 94.3 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 24 | 5.7 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 55 - Does your agency have a physical fitness requirement? | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Responses | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| | NO | 274 | 64.9 | 68.5 | 68.5 |
| | YES | 126 | 29.9 | 31.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 400 | 94.8 | 100.0 | |
| | Missing | 22 | 5.2 | | |
| | Total | 422 | 100.0 | | |

| Responses to Question 56a - Identify areas of research in fire and explosion investigation that you would like to see explored: | |
|---|----|
| Responses | N |
| ELECTRICAL CAUSE & ORIGIN | 10 |
| VEHICLE FIRES | 8 |
| JUVENILE FIRESETTERS | 6 |
| WMD ROBOTIC VEHICLE | 4 |
| FIRE MODELING | 4 |
| EVIDENCE COLLECTION METHODS | 3 |
| COMPUTER MODELING | 3 |
| ORIGIN & CAUSE | 3 |
| COURTROOM TESTIMONY | 2 |
| LAB ANALYSIS OF IGNITABLE LIQUIDS | 2 |
| SEARCH & SEIZURE | 2 |
| LARGE VEHICLE BOMBS | 2 |
| INTELLIGENCE NETWORK | 2 |

| | |
|---|---|
| CAUSE & ORIGIN | 2 |
| INTERNET RESOURCES | 2 |
| FIRE BEHAVIOR | 2 |
| NEW BUILDING MATERIALS | 1 |
| AMOUNT OF EXPLOSIVES/DAMAGE | 1 |
| EFFECTS OF FIRE ON ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS | 1 |
| APPLIED RESEARCH SUPPORTING FIELD INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| INTERVIEW/INTERROGATION | 1 |
| GOV'T FUNDED TRAINING | 1 |
| CERTIFICATION OF INVESTIGATORS | 1 |
| USE OF K-9'S | 1 |
| NATIONAL CFI STANDARD | 1 |
| SUSPECT/VICTIM BACKGROUND | 1 |
| MORE KNOWLEDGE IN THE FIELD | 1 |
| BETTER X-RAY CAPABILITIES | 1 |
| BETTER LABS AND SAMPLE TAKING | 1 |
| TAGANTS | 1 |
| CONTINUED RESOURCES FOR CERTIFICATION | 1 |
| ELECTRICAL CAUSED FIRES FOR IDIOTS | 1 |
| RESPIRATORY/CARDIAC ILLNESS DUE TO FIRE INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| CAUSE DETERMINATION | 1 |
| COURT DECISIONS RE USE OF ACC DET K-9S | 1 |
| SECURED WEB SITE | 1 |
| PYRELISIS | 1 |
| HUMAN SPONTANEOUS COMBUSTION | 1 |
| DATABASE TO ACCESS INFO. ON FIRE | 1 |
| METHOD FOR SUCCESSFUL DEVELOPMENT&LIFT/PHOTO.OFLATENT FINGERPRINTSFROM2LITERPLASTIC "ACID BOMBS" | 1 |
| FULL SCALE TESTING | 1 |
| HIGHER EDUCATION AS PERTAINS TO FIRE & EXPLOSION | 1 |
| CONTAMINATION OF EVIDENCE | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| MORE ACCESSIBLE HAND ON TRAINING SEMINAR | 1 |
| FIRE PATTERN ANALYSIS | 1 |
| WILDLAND FIRE INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| INTERVIEWING | 1 |
| ELECTRONIC EXCHANGE INFORMATION | 1 |
| FULL SCALE FIRE LABORATORIES (FOR INVESTIGATORS) | 1 |
| LAB ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE | 1 |
| BETTER PAY & BENIFITS | 1 |
| ARSON FOR PROFIT (INSURANCE) | 1 |
| REMOVING THE FBI FROM ANY PARTICIPATION | 1 |
| TEXTILE BURN RATE TRAINING | 1 |
| AUTO FIRE CAUSES | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVE TAGGING | 1 |
| MORE ACCIDENTAL CAUSE TESTING | 1 |
| NATURE & APP OF CHARRING W/WO INGN LIQ | 1 |
| DIFFUSION CHARACTERISTICS OF FUEL GAS LEAKS IN STRUCTURES | 1 |
| DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF FIRE CAUSE | 1 |
| CHEMICALS PURCHASED AT LOCAL STORES | 1 |
| ON THE SCENCE INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| LET ONLY FIRE INVEST./SPECIALISTS IN THE FIRE FIELD DEVELOP GUIDELINES IN NFPA 921 | 1 |
| TERRORIST-PSYCHOLOGY OF | 1 |
| BLAST PRESSURE ANALYSIS | 1 |
| IDENTIFYING & ANALYZING FIRE PATTERNS | 1 |
| MAJOR SCENE CONTROL | 1 |
| LIGHTWEIGHTPACKPACK-BRIEFCASESIZESNIFFER | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVES RESIDUE ANALYSES | 1 |
| FIRE SPREAD | 1 |
| TESTING SUSPECTED IGNITION SCENARIOS | 1 |
| LOW HEAT IGNITION TEMPS | 1 |
| CLASS A FOAM. & FIRE INVEST | 1 |

| | |
|--|---|
| REQUIRE BOMB TECH ATTEND POST BLAST SCHL | 1 |
| HAVE JUDGES & DA'S MORE KNOWLEDAGABLE IN EXPLOSIVES | 1 |
| JUVENILE PIPE BOMB | 1 |
| SPONTANEOUS HEATING | 1 |
| ELEMENT IDENTIFICATION | 1 |
| ADVANCED ORIGINS & CAUSE | 1 |
| MORE IN DEPTH PSYCHOLOGICAL PROFILING | 1 |
| AUTO FIRES - KEYPATHING | 1 |
| UCF NOT DUPLICATE WHAT HAS BEEN DONE | 1 |
| ADVANCED SCENE EXAM | 1 |
| USING UV & OTHER LIGHT SOURCES FOR ACCELERANT DETECTION | 1 |
| NFA IN M.D. | 1 |
| CERTIFICATION PROGRAM FOR NJ STATE | 1 |
| PRIVATE LICENSING | 1 |
| MORE SEMINARS/NATIONWIDE | 1 |
| PRATICAL METH OF DETONATING LG CAR BOMB | 1 |
| FIRE MODELING WITH GOOD SOFTWARE | 1 |
| APPLIANCE FIRE INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| ELECTRICAL | 1 |
| NAT'L MANDANTORY INVEST GUIDELINES | 1 |
| VOLUNTEER FF ARSONS | 1 |
| NO. INCENDIARY FIRES VS THOSE PROSECUTED | 1 |
| INCENDIARY DEVICES | 1 |
| LAB ANALYSIS OF FIRE DEBRIS & SET STAND | 1 |
| CO-OP BTWN DEPARTMENTS | 1 |
| COMPUTER SOFTWARE | 1 |
| BURN RATES | 1 |
| IED DEVELOPMENT & PRODUCTION | 1 |
| TESTING | 1 |
| "LIVE" BURN * EXPLOSION TESTING | 1 |
| FIRE DYNAMICS IN STRUCTURES | 1 |

| | |
|--|---|
| TYPES OF ACCELERANTS USED | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVE ID | 1 |
| APPLIANCE FAILURES | 1 |
| FIRE SPREAD VIA AIR PATTERNS | 1 |
| AIRCRAFT EXPLOSION EFFECTS | 1 |
| METALLURGICAL REACTIONS | 1 |
| MANDANTORY AUTOPSIES FOR FIRE DEATHS | 1 |
| SMOLDERING FIRES | 1 |
| TEST BURNS OF MATERIALS IN FIELD SETTING | 1 |
| LEVEL BELOW BOMB TECHNICIAN | 1 |
| BURN PATTERN | 1 |
| IED CONSTRUCTION-MISTAKES & HAZARDS | 1 |
| CHEMISTRY & PHYSICS OF FIRE | 1 |
| MORE EDUCATION | 1 |
| TRAINING OF PROSECUTORS | 1 |
| NAT'L DATABASE THAT WORKS | 1 |
| HEALTH HAZARDS | 1 |
| AIRCRAFT EXPLOSION | 1 |
| HANDS ON VEHICLE BURNS | 1 |
| CASE LAW | 1 |
| SMALL/INITIAL IGNITION OF MATERIALS | 1 |
| CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| VEHICLE FIRES-LATE MODELS, NOT FROM 70'S | 1 |
| FIRE SUPPRESSION USING FOAM APPLICATION MINUS VENTILATION | 1 |
| QUICKER LAB RESULTS | 1 |
| STRUCTURE | 1 |
| CASE BRIEFS ON INTERNET | 1 |
| DATABASE FOR TRACKING ARSON | 1 |
| POST BLAST ADVANCED CLASSES | 1 |
| PATTERNS | 1 |
| APPLICANCE FAILURES | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| MANDATED EDUCATION | 1 |
| ALL | 1 |
| FUNDING FOR MORE LIVE BURN & EXPLOSIVES FOR TRAINING | 1 |
| DI-POLE MITE ON SMALLER SCALE | 1 |
| STANDARDIZED ACCLERANT & EXPLOSIVES CANINE PROTOCOLS | 1 |
| CAUSE AND ORIGIN/COMPLYING WITH NFPA 921, ETC. | 1 |
| WHY ATF CALLS 50% OF THEIR FIRES ARSON? | 1 |
| HOW THE INTERNET EFFECTS BOMB MAKING BY JUVENILES | 1 |
| MORE TRAINING | 1 |
| FIRE EDUCATION FOR PUBLIC | 1 |
| LIVE BURNS-RESULTS | 1 |
| STANDARDIZATION FOR EXP. INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| FIRE CAUSE & MOTIVE | 1 |
| VIDEO/COMPUTER TRAINING | 1 |
| K-9 VS LAB EQUIPMENT | 1 |
| RESOURCE MATERIAL AVAILABLE RE EXPLOSIVES/COMPOUNDS | 1 |
| NONE AT THIS TIME | 1 |
| POST BLAST INCIDENT EFFECT ON INVESTIGATORS | 1 |
| COOPERATION BETWEEN POLICE-FIRE & PROSECUTOR'S | 1 |
| POST BLAST ANALYSIS | 1 |
| IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVES | 1 |
| ACCELLERDETECT | 1 |
| VEHICLE FIRE CAUSES & STATE | 1 |
| AREAS OF HIGH RISK | 1 |
| FIRE EFFECTS OF VARIOUS COMBUSTIBLES | 1 |

Responses to Question 56b - Additional areas of research in fire and explosion invetigation that you would like to see explored:

| Responses | | N |
|------------------|---|----------|
| Valid | VEHICLE FIRES | 4 |
| | ELECTRICAL FIRE ORIGIN & CAUSE | 3 |
| | EVIDENCE COLLECTION | 2 |

| | |
|--|---|
| ARSON AS IT RELATES TO FRAUD/PROFIT | 2 |
| FIRE BEHAVIOR | 2 |
| ELECTRICAL FIRE CAUSES | 2 |
| RESIDUE ANALYSIS RESEARCH | 1 |
| POST BLAST EXAMINATIONS PROCEDURE | 1 |
| PROS OF ARSON CASES FOR PROS. ATTORNEYS | 1 |
| ON SITE TRAINING FOR SMALL AGENCIES | 1 |
| FIRE/POLICE COMBINATIONS INVESTIGATIONS VS. INVESTIGATIONS BY SINGLE AGENCY | 1 |
| LEGISLATIVE MATERIAL | 1 |
| ELECTRICAL TRAINING | 1 |
| HUMAN REACTIONS TO EMERGENCY | 1 |
| CONSUMER PRODUCT TAMPERING FOR ARSON | 1 |
| SPOILIATION | 1 |
| MORE & BETTER INTERROGATION SCHOOLS-CLASSES | 1 |
| FIRE SPREAD | 1 |
| TERRORIST GROUP ACTIVITIES | 1 |
| MORE COMPREHENSIVE RESEARCH ON FORCE I.E. BLAST WAVE | 1 |
| FLASHOVER PATTERNS "POOR"? | 1 |
| CHEMICAL WEAPONS & EFFECTS | 1 |
| BETTER ACCESS TO LABS | 1 |
| OVERPRESSURE INJURY | 1 |
| COURT TESTIMONY | 1 |
| ARSON PROFILING | 1 |
| STATEMENT TAKING | 1 |
| TYPE DEVICES EXPLOSION | 1 |
| FLASHOVER EFFECTS | 1 |
| MISTAKES MADE TO LEARN FROM | 1 |
| TRAINING THE TRAINER | 1 |
| POSTBLAST | 1 |
| MOTIVES | 1 |

| | |
|--|---|
| COMPARATIVE LAB METHODS OF ACC DETECTION | 1 |
| INTERVIEWING | 1 |
| FASTER LAB RESULTS | 1 |
| ELECTRICAL FIRE CAUSES - VEHICLE & STRUC | 1 |
| INVOLVEMENT OF NATURAL GAS/PROP IN FIRE | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVE ENTRY IN HOSTAGE RESCUE/PRISON | 1 |
| AUTOMOBILE FIRE CAUSES | 1 |
| EXPOSURE TO CONTAMINATION LONG TERM | 1 |
| LIVE EXPLOSIVES DEMO-RESULTS | 1 |
| REGIONAL TEAMS TO RESPOND TO MAJOR BOMB | 1 |
| ELECTRICAL FIRE | 1 |
| PIPE BOMB RESULTS OFTEN EXPLOSION | 1 |
| PRE/POST BLAST | 1 |
| TESTINGKITSIMILARDRUGKITS | 1 |
| CERTIFICATION | 1 |
| COMPONENT IDENTIFICATION | 1 |
| PUBLISH DIPOLE MIGHT TEST INFO | 1 |
| RECIPROCITY BTWN STATES FOR CERTIFICATIO | 1 |
| HEAT TRANSFER | 1 |
| USE OF TAGGANTS | 1 |
| TAGGANTS | 1 |
| NBC/WMD | 1 |
| C.F.I. REQUIREMENT | 1 |
| WMD MITIGATION SYSTEMS | 1 |
| PROFILING | 1 |
| COMPUTER | 1 |
| r &d IN MITIGATION OF &ENTRY ITNO LARGE VEHICLE BOMBS | 1 |
| LIGHTER BOMB SUIT | 1 |
| PHOTOGRAPHY | 1 |
| INCENDIARY DEVICES | 1 |
| CHEMISTRY OF EXPL | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| MILITARY ORDINANCE | 1 |
| FIRE SPREAD IN MATERIALS | 1 |
| POINT OF ORIGIN | 1 |
| ANGLE OF "V" PATTERNS FOR FAST VS SLOW | 1 |
| HEALTH HAZARDS EVALUATION FOR/ON FIRE INVESTIGATORS | 1 |
| APPLIANCE-ELECTRICAL COMPONENT OVERHEATING | 1 |
| ACCIDENTAL ELECTRICAL | 1 |
| ACCELERANT DETERMINATION | 1 |
| NEW BUILDING TECHNIQUES AND HOW THEY RESPOND TO FIRE | 1 |
| TRAINING BOMB TECHS IN EXPLOSIVES INVEST | 1 |
| INTERVIEWING INTERPOLATIONS | 1 |
| DOCUMENT FIRE BEHAVIOR BY BURNING IDENTICAL UNITS IN DIFFERENT WAY | 1 |
| HOMEMADE DEVICES | 1 |
| VALIDITY OF K-9 USAGE FOR ACCELERANT DET | 1 |
| COMPUTER PRE-SCENE RECONSTRUCTION | 1 |
| FIRE BEHAVIOR IN MODERN VEHICLES | 1 |
| FIRE DYNAMICS | 1 |
| POST BLAST TRAINING | 1 |
| SHAPE OF "V" PATTERNS | 1 |
| BURN PATTERNS ON CONCRETE | 1 |
| PLACING IDENTIFIABLE TRACE AGENTS IN ALCOHOL TYPE PRODUCTS THAT ARE COMMONLY USED AS ACCELERANTS | 1 |
| BOMB SIGN ANALYSIS | 1 |
| CRIME SCENE INV. | 1 |
| BOBMING STATS FOR WORLD | 1 |
| FUNDING SOURCES FOR EQUIPMENT | 1 |
| FIRE MODELING | 1 |
| POLICE VS FIRE RELATIONS | 1 |
| INTERVIEW/INTERROGAT | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVES | 1 |
| WHAT PERCENTAGE OF EXPLOSIONS ARE ACCIDENTAL | 1 |

| | |
|--|---|
| UP-TO-DATE SCIENCE | 1 |
| PROPER LAB PROTOCOLS | 1 |
| RESPONSE TO LARGE EXPLOSIONS | 1 |
| FIELD TESTING FOR EXCELLENCE | 1 |
| WEB SITE STUFF | 1 |
| HEAT EFFECTS TO PIPING CONNECTIONS | 1 |
| FIRE DEATH INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| CHEMICAL FIRES | 1 |
| NATURAL INCLUDING LIGHTNING & SPONTANEOUS HEATING | 1 |
| ORIGIN & CAUSE DETERMINATION | 1 |
| ELECTRICAL FIRE ORIGIN & CAUSE | 1 |
| TYPES OF EXPLOSIONS | 1 |
| COMBINING STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS | 1 |
| DRYER FIRE CAUSES | 1 |
| ELDERLY FIRE CAUSE - ACCIDENT VS ARSON | 1 |
| FIREWORKS RELATED FIRE NUMBERS | 1 |
| SEMINARS/TRAINING | 1 |
| MORE TEST FIRES | 1 |
| FIRE PATTERNS | 1 |
| STANDARDIZED CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS | 1 |
| NAT'L CERTIFICATION THROUGH A GOV'T AGEN | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVE ANALYSIS | 1 |
| IMPACT OF GUIDELINES ON ORGANIZATIONS | 1 |
| REFINED EVIDENCE COLLECTION TECHNIQUES | 1 |
| JOB OPPORTUNITIES | 1 |
| PIPE BOMB TESTING | 1 |
| MINIMAL TRAINING (YEARLY) REQUIREMENTS | 1 |
| SERIAL ARSON | 1 |
| MORE HOME STUDY OCURSES TO OBTAIN DEGREE | 1 |
| LEGAL ASPECTS | 1 |
| BURN PATTERNS | 1 |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | TRAINING | 1 |
| | EXPLOSIVE INQUIRY | 1 |
| | DEVICE DATABASE | 1 |
| | INTERNET SECURITY | 1 |
| | BLAST DEVICE & MATERIAL SETTING IN FIELD | 1 |
| | JUVENILE FIRESETTERS | 1 |
| | BURN PATTERN DEVELOPMENT | 1 |
| | DISTANCE LEARNING | 1 |
| | DETAILED INTERVIEWS OF CONVICTED ARSONISTS | 1 |
| | FIRE SCENE CHECKLIST | 1 |
| | FULL SCALE EXPLOSION LABORATORIES (FOR INVESTIGATOR) | 1 |
| | HANDS ON AFTER EXPOSURE | 1 |
| | INFORMATION SHARING BETWEEN PUBLIC & PRIVATE SECTORS | 1 |
| | FIRE INVESTIGATION (ADVANCED) | 1 |
| | TRENDS & PATTERNS OF INCIDENTS/DEVICES | 1 |
| | DESTRUCTION OF COMMERCIAL EXPLOSIVES | 1 |
| | FULL SCALE TESTING | 1 |
| | EVIDENCE STORAGE | 1 |
| | BUILDING EXPLOSION | 1 |
| | ORIGIN & CAUSE | 1 |
| | NEW METHODS OF ACCELERANT PRESENCE AT SC | 1 |

Responses to Question 56c - Additional areas of research in fire and explosion investigation that you would like to see explored:

| | Responses | N |
|--|---|----------|
| | FUNDING FOR SMALL AGENCY FOR EQUIPMENT | 1 |
| | FIRE DYNAMICS | 1 |
| | COMPILE LIST OF FINANCIAL DATABASES THAT ARE AVAILABLE | 1 |
| | APPLIANCE FIRES | 1 |
| | MORE CANINE RESEARCH | 1 |
| | EXPLOSIVE DEVICES | 1 |
| | STANDARDIZED CONTAMINATION PROTOCOLS | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| COMBUSTABLE PROPERTIES ON MATERIALS | 1 |
| OTHER CONTAINERS W/POWDERS THAT EXP | 1 |
| LAB HANDS ON & CERTIFIED PHOTO LAB | 1 |
| BOMBER PROFILES | 1 |
| CRIME SCENE PROCEDURES | 1 |
| BETTER & INEXPENSIVE TECH FOR SCENE | 1 |
| TERRORISM (OSP. SMALL ACTS) | 1 |
| EVIDENCE CONTAINERS | 1 |
| RENDER SAFE GUIDELINES | 1 |
| DETECTION DEVICES FOR EVIDENCE SAMP | 1 |
| IGNITION ENERGIES | 1 |
| EVIDENCECOLLECTION | 1 |
| STRESS MANAGEMENT | 1 |
| FIRE MODELING | 1 |
| VEHICLE FIRES | 1 |
| QUALIFIED INV. - LIKE DR. DEHANN | 1 |
| VEHICLE | 1 |
| INTERVIEW AND INTERROGATION | 1 |
| SPONTANEOUS COMBUSTION | 1 |
| IMP IN TIME RESPONSE OF PRIM INVEST | 1 |
| INTERVIEW/INTERROGATION | 1 |
| CROSS CONTAMINATION | 1 |
| INTERVIEWS, INTERROGATIONS | 1 |
| EFFECT OF BLDG CONST ON FIRE SPREAD | 1 |
| WILDLAND FIRE INVESTIGATIONS | 1 |
| LISTINGS OF FITNESS REQUIREMENTS NATIONALLY | 1 |
| STANDARDIZED TRAINING REQUIRED AFTER CERTIFICATION | 1 |
| ELECTRICAL FIRE INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| INDIVIDUAL AND SMALL GROUP INVESTIGATION TEAMS | 1 |
| CD-ROM INTERACTIVE PROGRAM | 1 |
| VARIOUS ELECTRICAL FIRES | 1 |

| | |
|--|---|
| TWO WEEK BOMB SCHOOL | 1 |
| BUILDING CONSTRUCTION | 1 |
| FIRE SCENCE INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| CHILDREN & FIRES | 1 |
| VIDEO TRAINING | 1 |
| POST BLAST | 1 |
| FIRES FROM ELECTRICAL INDUCTION | 1 |
| TRENDS IN ACC USE & DOCUMENTATION | 1 |
| COURT TESTIMONY | 1 |
| UPDATES ON RECENT COURT CASES | 1 |
| AIRCRAFT & STRUCTURE HARDENING | 1 |
| TIME FUEL TEMP RELATIONSHIP | 1 |
| EDUCATION SEMINARS | 1 |
| POST BLAST COMPONENT ID | 1 |
| BOOBY TRAPS | 1 |
| ELECTRICAL FIRES | 1 |
| RECONSTR. OF BOMB SC | 1 |
| LINK ANALYSIS | 1 |
| TASK FORCE/NETWORKING | 1 |
| STANDARDIZED TRAINING | 1 |
| FACILITY FIRE | 1 |
| EXPLOSIVES FROM TERRORIST ACTIVITIES | 1 |
| CERTIFICATION AVAILABILITY | 1 |
| UNIFIED CERTIFICATION | 1 |
| PROFILES ON ARSONIST AND BOMB MAKERS | 1 |
| POST BLAST EVIDENCE AT SCENCE | 1 |
| TRAINING WITH ATF | 1 |
| EVIDENCE COLLECTION | 1 |
| IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICES | 1 |
| MILITARY DATABASE | 1 |
| UNIVERSITY FIRE CAUSE & DYNAMICS | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| LIST OF APPROPRIATE LABS TO SEND EVIDENCE FOR ANALYSIS | 1 |
| TIME DELAY DEVICES | 1 |
| FIRE INVESTIGATION (CERTIFYING) | 1 |
| BURNTIMES | 1 |
| DEVICE DETECTION | 1 |
| FUNDING/ UP TO DATE TOOLS/EQUIP | 1 |
| EVIDENCE TRANSPORT | 1 |
| NEW METHODS FOR COLLECTION OF LATENT PRINT AND ACCELERANT RESIDUE (AND ANALYSES OF SAME)AT FIRE SCEN | 1 |
| DEBRIS SAMPLE COLLECTION | 1 |
| INDUSTRY EXPERT TESTIMONY | 1 |
| DATABASE OF ALL BOMBERS/ARSONISTS | 1 |
| INTRO OF A TRACE ELE | 1 |
| ARSONIST PROFILING | 1 |
| BETTER METHODS FOR FIELD TESTING FOR PRESENCE OF ACCELERANTS | 1 |
| WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION-EMPHASIS ON SAFETY | 1 |
| MORE TEST EXPLOSION | 1 |
| AIRCRAFT FIRES (NON-CRASH RELATED) | 1 |
| FIRE SCENCE CONTROL BURNS | 1 |
| MONITORED REAL-LIFE BURN SITUATIONS | 1 |
| EXPLOSION CHEMISTRY | 1 |
| PROS OF ARSON CASES FOR EXPL INVEST | 1 |
| IN DEPTH EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS | 1 |
| PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION | 1 |
| POST BLAST INVESTIGATION | 1 |
| PSYCHOLOGICAL EFFECT ON INVESTIGATIORS | 1 |
| ID TYPE FUELS | 1 |
| MONTHLY TRAINING FOR BOMB SQUADS | 1 |
| CONTINUE ON DYNAMICS & PUBLISH BURN RESULTS | 1 |
| IGNITABLE LIQ FIRES IN STRUCTURES | 1 |
| DUST PARTICLE TRACKING IN EXPLOSION | 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS & EFFECTS | 1 |
| DIGITAL CAMERAS | 1 |
| FUNDING SOURCES FOR TRAINING | 1 |
| STIFFER PENALTIES | 1 |
| INTERVIEWING TECHNIQUES | 1 |
| LARGE VEHICLE BOMB BLAST INVES | 1 |
| NATIONAL TRAINING RESOURCES | 1 |
| LATENT PRINTING OF EXPLOSIVES EVIDENCE | 1 |
| ARSON CONTROL ISSUES | 1 |
| IGNITABLE RESIDUE | 1 |
| MATERIAL TESTING | 1 |